Log-correlated Gaussian fields and Random matrix theory

Christian Webb

Aalto University, Finland

Extrema of logarithmically correlated processes, characteristic polynomials, and the Riemann zeta function, May 9, 2016

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへで

What is a log-correlated Gaussian field?

A Gaussian process X(x) on \mathbb{R}^d with a log singularity in its covariance:

$$\mathbb{E}X(x)X(y) \sim -\log|x-y|, \quad \text{as} \quad x \to y.$$



Doesn't make sense as an honest random function.

Examples of log-correlated fields Let $A_k \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$ be i.i.d. and

$$X(heta) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left[A_k e^{ik heta} + A_k^* e^{-ik heta}
ight]$$

Then (formally) $\mathbb{E}X(\theta)X(\theta') = -\frac{1}{2}\log|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|.$



• Let $B_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d. and for $x \in (-1,1)$

$$Y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} B_k T_k(x),$$

where $T_k(\cos \theta) = \cos k\theta$. Then $\mathbb{E}Y(x)Y(y) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(2|x-y|)$.

• Let $B_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d. and for $x \in (-1,1)$

$$Y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} B_k T_k(x),$$

where $T_k(\cos \theta) = \cos k\theta$. Then $\mathbb{E}Y(x)Y(y) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(2|x-y|)$. • Let $C_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d. and for $x \in (-1,1)$

$$Z(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} C_k U_k(x) \sqrt{1-x^2},$$

where $U_k(\cos \theta) = \sin(k+1)\theta / \sin \theta$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}Z(x)Z(y) = -\log\frac{|x-y|}{1-xy+\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-y^2}}.$$

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 4 / 14

• Let $D_k \sim N(0, 1)$ be i.i.d., $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (compact, simply connected) and $\Delta \phi_k = -\lambda_k \phi_k$ on D (zero Dirichlet bc). GFF: for $x \in D$

$$W(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \phi_k(x),$$

Again $\mathbb{E}W(x)W(y) = G_D(x, y) \sim -\log|x - y|$ as $x \to y$.

• Let $D_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d., $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (compact, simply connected) and $\Delta \phi_k = -\lambda_k \phi_k$ on D (zero Dirichlet bc). GFF: for $x \in D$

$$W(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \phi_k(x),$$

Again $\mathbb{E}W(x)W(y) = G_D(x, y) \sim -\log|x - y|$ as $x \to y$.

 All of these series converge almost surely in suitable spaces of generalized functions (e.g. Sobolev spaces) and one can make precise sense of everything above.

• Let $D_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d., $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (compact, simply connected) and $\Delta \phi_k = -\lambda_k \phi_k$ on D (zero Dirichlet bc). GFF: for $x \in D$

$$W(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \phi_k(x),$$

Again $\mathbb{E}W(x)W(y) = G_D(x,y) \sim -\log|x-y|$ as $x \to y$.

- All of these series converge almost surely in suitable spaces of generalized functions (e.g. Sobolev spaces) and one can make precise sense of everything above.
- Many other ways of defining log-correlated fields exist.

• Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough *f*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ 王 ト ・ 王 ・ りへで

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - > Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.
 - Rider and Virag 06, Ginibre ensemble.

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ 王 ト ・ 王 ・ りへで

- Rider and Virag 06, Ginibre ensemble.
- Bourgade and Kuan 12, mesoscopic CLT for ζ zeroes.

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.
 - Rider and Virag 06, Ginibre ensemble.
 - Bourgade and Kuan 12, mesoscopic CLT for ζ zeroes.
 - Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet 15, discrete β -ensembles.

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ 王 ト ・ 王 ・ つくで

- Rider and Virag 06, Ginibre ensemble.
- Bourgade and Kuan 12, mesoscopic CLT for ζ zeroes.
- Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet 15, discrete β-ensembles.
- Moll 15, models for random partitions.

 Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough f

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ 王 ト ・ 王 ・ つくで

- Rider and Virag 06, Ginibre ensemble.
- Bourgade and Kuan 12, mesoscopic CLT for ζ zeroes.
- Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet 15, discrete β -ensembles.
- Moll 15, models for random partitions.
- 2d β-ensembles? big picture?

• Moral of the story: most common CLTs for linear statistics in RMT give rise to log-correlated objects. For nice enough *f*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\lambda_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2).$$

- CLTs hold for a large class of models:
 - Diaconis and Shahshahani 94, for the CUE.
 - Johansson 98, for 1d (polynomial one cut regular) β -ensembles.
 - Rider and Virag 06, Ginibre ensemble.
 - Bourgade and Kuan 12, mesoscopic CLT for ζ zeroes.
 - Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet 15, discrete β-ensembles.
 - Moll 15, models for random partitions.
 - 2d β -ensembles? big picture?

$$\frac{1}{Z_{N,\beta}}\prod_{i< j}|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|^{\beta}e^{-N\frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N V(\lambda_j)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで - -

• Let
$$U_N \sim CUE(N)$$
, and

$$egin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{N}}(heta) &= \log |\det(I-e^{-i heta}U_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\sqrt{j}}\left[e^{-ij heta}rac{ ext{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{j}}{\sqrt{j}}+e^{ij heta}rac{ ext{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{-j}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

<ロト < 団 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 王 の < で 7/14

• Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and

$$egin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{N}}(heta) &= \log |\det(I-e^{-i heta}\,U_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\sqrt{j}}\left[e^{-ij heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{j}}{\sqrt{j}}+e^{ij heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{-j}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Hughes, Keating, and O'Connell 2001) As $N \to \infty$, $X_N \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ (in a suitable space).

<ロ > < />

• Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and

$$egin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{N}}(heta) &= \log |\det(I-e^{-i heta}\,U_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\sqrt{j}}\left[e^{-ij heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{j}}{\sqrt{j}}+e^{ij heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{-j}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Hughes, Keating, and O'Connell 2001) As $N \to \infty$, $X_N \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ (in a suitable space).

• Similar result for the imaginary part of log det $(I - e^{-i\theta}U_N)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 → ○ ○ ○ 7/14

• Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and

$$egin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{N}}(heta) &= \log |\det(I-e^{-i heta}U_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\sqrt{j}}\left[e^{-ij heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{j}}{\sqrt{j}}+e^{ij heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{-j}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Hughes, Keating, and O'Connell 2001) As $N \to \infty$, $X_N \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ (in a suitable space).

- Similar result for the imaginary part of $\log \det(I e^{-i\theta}U_N)$.
- One of the critical ingredients: the CLT of Diaconis and Shahshahani.

• Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (random Hermitian matrix, density $\propto e^{-2N \operatorname{Tr} H_N^2}$) and for $x \in (-1, 1)$.

 $Y_N(x) = \log |\det(xI - H_N)|.$

• Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (random Hermitian matrix, density $\propto e^{-2N \operatorname{Tr} H_N^2}$) and for $x \in (-1, 1)$.

$$Y_N(x) = \log |\det(xI - H_N)|.$$

Theorem (Fyodorov, Khoruzhenko, and Simm 13)

 $Y_N(x) - \mathbb{E}Y_N(x) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} Y(x)$ (in a suitable space).

• Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (random Hermitian matrix, density $\propto e^{-2N \operatorname{Tr} H_N^2}$) and for $x \in (-1, 1)$.

$$Y_N(x) = \log |\det(xI - H_N)|.$$

Theorem (Fyodorov, Khoruzhenko, and Simm 13)

 $Y_N(x) - \mathbb{E}Y_N(x) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} Y(x)$ (in a suitable space).

• One of the critical ingredients: Johansson's CLT.

• Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (random Hermitian matrix, density $\propto e^{-2N \operatorname{Tr} H_N^2}$) and for $x \in (-1, 1)$.

$$Y_N(x) = \log |\det(xI - H_N)|.$$

Theorem (Fyodorov, Khoruzhenko, and Simm 13)

 $Y_N(x) - \mathbb{E}Y_N(x) \xrightarrow{d} Y(x)$ (in a suitable space).

- One of the critical ingredients: Johansson's CLT.
- The imaginary part of log det $(xI H_N)$ is essentially the eigenvalue counting function and converges to Z after centering.

Characteristic polynomial of the Ginibre ensemble Let G_N be a $N \times N$ complex Ginibre matrix (density $\propto e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} G_N^* G_N}$).



□ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅
 𝔅

Characteristic polynomial of the Ginibre ensemble Let G_N be a $N \times N$ complex Ginibre matrix (density $\propto e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} G_N^* G_N}$).



$$W_N(z) = \log |\det(zI - G_N)|, \quad |z| < 1.$$

・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ・ ・ 注 ・ 注 ・ 注 ・ う へ や 9/14

Characteristic polynomial of the Ginibre ensemble Let G_N be a $N \times N$ complex Ginibre matrix (density $\propto e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} G_N^* G_N}$).



$$W_N(z) = \log |\det(zI - G_N)|, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Theorem (Rider and Virag 06) As $N \to \infty$, $W_N(z) - \mathbb{E}W_N(z)$ converges to a Gaussian field with covariance $-\frac{1}{2} \log |z - w|$.

• Idea: look at $\lambda_j - \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$ "globally".

$$x=\int_{-1}^{\gamma(x)}\sigma(y)dy.$$

• Idea: look at
$$\lambda_j - \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$$
 "globally".
• Let $\sigma(x) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and for $x \in (0,1)$

$$x=\int_{-1}^{\gamma(x)}\sigma(y)dy.$$

• It turns out that for $x \in (0,1)$ (in the sense of generalized functions)

$$\lambda_{\lfloor Nx \rfloor} \approx \gamma(x) + \frac{1}{N\pi\sigma(\gamma(x))}Z(\gamma(x))$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

• Idea: look at
$$\lambda_j - \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$$
 "globally".
• Let $\sigma(x) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and for $x \in (0,1)$

$$x=\int_{-1}^{\gamma(x)}\sigma(y)dy.$$

• It turns out that for $x \in (0,1)$ (in the sense of generalized functions)

$$\lambda_{\lfloor Nx \rfloor} \approx \gamma(x) + \frac{1}{N\pi\sigma(\gamma(x))} Z(\gamma(x))$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

₹ 9Q@

10/14

• Ingredients: Johansson's CLT and eigenvalue rigidity.

• Idea: look at
$$\lambda_j - \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$$
 "globally".
• Let $\sigma(x) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and for $x \in (0,1)$

$$x=\int_{-1}^{\gamma(x)}\sigma(y)dy.$$

• It turns out that for $x \in (0,1)$ (in the sense of generalized functions)

$$\lambda_{\lfloor Nx \rfloor} \approx \gamma(x) + \frac{1}{N\pi\sigma(\gamma(x))} Z(\gamma(x))$$

- Ingredients: Johansson's CLT and eigenvalue rigidity.
- Similar result for the Plancherel measure due to Kerov (90s).

• Do these objects give rise to log-correlated fields for all (one cut regular) β -ensembles?

- Do these objects give rise to log-correlated fields for all (one cut regular) β -ensembles?
- How about Wigner matrices, discrete models, ζ -function, log-gases in $d \ge 3, ...?$

- Do these objects give rise to log-correlated fields for all (one cut regular) β -ensembles?
- How about Wigner matrices, discrete models, ζ -function, log-gases in $d \ge 3, ...?$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

11/14

• What's the big picture?

- Do these objects give rise to log-correlated fields for all (one cut regular) β -ensembles?
- How about Wigner matrices, discrete models, ζ -function, log-gases in $d \ge 3, ...?$
- What's the big picture?
- One guess for a universality class: random analytic functions whose zeroes repel each other like a log-gas on a suitable scale.

• It turns out one can make sense of things like

$$e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$$

・ロ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ヨ ・ シ ヨ ・ つ へ (や 12/14

• It turns out one can make sense of things like

$$e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$$

• Random measures - describe the "geometry" of X.

It turns out one can make sense of things like

$$e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$$

• Random measures - describe the "geometry" of X.

Theorem (W 14) Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and $0 < \gamma < \sqrt{2}$. Then $\frac{|\det(e^{i\theta}I - U_N)|^{\gamma}}{\mathbb{E}|\det(e^{i\theta}I - U_N)|^{\gamma}} \xrightarrow{d} e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}X(\theta)^2}$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ りへぐ

It turns out one can make sense of things like

$$e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$$

• Random measures - describe the "geometry" of X.

Theorem (W 14)
Let
$$U_N \sim CUE(N)$$
, and $0 < \gamma < \sqrt{2}$. Then

$$\frac{|\det(e^{i\theta}I - U_N)|^{\gamma}}{\mathbb{E}|\det(e^{i\theta}I - U_N)|^{\gamma}} \xrightarrow{d} e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$$

• Similar results for a discrete CUE (W 15) and GUE (Berestycki, W, and Wong 16).

Define

$$X_{m{N},m{M}}(heta) = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^M rac{1}{\sqrt{j}}\left(e^{-ij heta}rac{{
m Tr}\,U^j_{m{N}}}{\sqrt{j}} + e^{ij heta}rac{{
m Tr}\,U^{-j}_{m{N}}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight)$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Define

$$X_{N,M}(heta) = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^M rac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \left(e^{-ij heta} rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_N^j}{\sqrt{j}} + e^{ij heta} rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_N^{-j}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight)$$

• Exponential moments can be studied asymptotically with RHP methods (Deift, Its, Krasovsky; Krasovsky and Claeys, ...)

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}, \mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)+\gamma X_{N}(\theta')}, \mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)+\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta')}, \dots$$

<ロト < @ ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の < で 13/14

Define

$$X_{N,M}(heta) = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^M rac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \left(e^{-ij heta} rac{\mathrm{Tr}\, U_N^j}{\sqrt{j}} + e^{ij heta} rac{\mathrm{Tr}\, U_N^{-j}}{\sqrt{j}}
ight)$$

 Exponential moments can be studied asymptotically with RHP methods (Deift, Its, Krasovsky; Krasovsky and Claeys, ...)

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{\mathcal{N}}(\theta)}, \mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{\mathcal{N}}(\theta)+\gamma X_{\mathcal{N}}(\theta')}, \mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{\mathcal{N}}(\theta)+\gamma X_{\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M}}(\theta')}, \dots$$

This lets one show

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left[\frac{e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}}-\frac{e^{\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta)}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta)}}\right]d\theta\right|^{2}=0.$$

• Due to Diaconis and Shahshahani's CLT and the definition of $e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$.

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}e^{\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X_{N,M}(\theta)^2}=e^{\gamma X(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X(\theta)^2}.$$

• Due to Diaconis and Shahshahani's CLT and the definition of $e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$.

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}e^{\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X_{N,M}(\theta)^2}=e^{\gamma X(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X(\theta)^2}$$

• How about other values of γ (Berestycki's approach to GMC seems promising)?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

• Due to Diaconis and Shahshahani's CLT and the definition of $e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$.

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}e^{\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X_{N,M}(\theta)^2}=e^{\gamma X(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X(\theta)^2}$$

- How about other values of γ (Berestycki's approach to GMC seems promising)?
- Universality? How to compute exponential moments for β-ensembles, Ginibre, ζ-function, ...? How about the eigenvalue counting function or eigenvalue fluctuations?

• Due to Diaconis and Shahshahani's CLT and the definition of $e^{\gamma X(\theta) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X(\theta)^2}$,

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}e^{\gamma X_{N,M}(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X_{N,M}(\theta)^2}=e^{\gamma X(\theta)-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}X(\theta)^2}$$

- How about other values of γ (Berestycki's approach to GMC seems promising)?
- Universality? How to compute exponential moments for β-ensembles, Ginibre, ζ-function, ...? How about the eigenvalue counting function or eigenvalue fluctuations?

